Kinetics of Demineralization of Shrimp Shell Using Lactic Acid

Alewo Opua AMEH1*, David ABUTU2, Muhammed Tijani ISA1, Umar RABIU1

1 Department of Chemical Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
2 Department of Chemical Sciences, Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria.
E-mails: alewoameh@yahoo.com; abutlericdav@yahoo.com; mtisaz@yahoo.com; umally89@gmail.com.
* Corresponding author: Phone: +2348035600744

Abstract

Shrimp exoskeleton was demineralized using lactic acid and the kinetics of the demineralisation was studied. The residual concentration of calcium after acid treatment was used as a measure of the degree of demineralisation. Kinetic data was obtained using five acid concentrations (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1M) and the obtained kinetic data was fitted to the shrinking core model. For all concentrations, the best predictive model was determined to be ash layer diffusion controlled mechanism.
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Introduction

Bio wastes originated from marine food products such as carapace and head of the shrimp, crab and krill are considered to be a rich source of protein, calcium carbonate and chitin [1]. Chitin is a natural polysaccharide composed of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine units and is the second most abundant biopolymer after cellulose [2]. It is biodegradable, biocompatible
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and nontoxic; therefore, chitin and its deacetylated derivative chitosan, has numerous applications in various fields, e.g. in food, agriculture, cosmetic, biomedicine, textile, water treatment and pharmaceuticals [1, 3-5].

In the processing of shrimp, between 40 and 50% of the total mass is generated as waste. Crustacean shell waste consists mainly of 30–40% protein, 30–50% calcium carbonate, and 20–30% chitin [6-9], with species and seasonal variations [10]. A small part of the waste is dried and utilized [11], while the rest is dumped into the sea, which is one of the main pollutants in coastal areas [12, 13]. The utilization of shellfish waste has been proposed not only to solve environmental problems, but as a waste treatment alternative to the disposal of shellfish wastes [14].

Conventionally, isolation of chitin from marine waste material involves acid treatment to dissolve calcium carbonate (demineralization) followed by alkaline extraction to solubilize proteins (deproteinization) [3]. The conventional demineralization process of crustacean waste is costly and causes environmental problems. Hydrochloric acid is the most commonly used chemical in the demineralization of crustacean waste. The use of this strong acid harms the physiochemical properties of chitin, results in a harmful effluent wastewater and increases the cost of chitin purification process. Percot et al. [15] reported that using HCl for the demineralization of chitin results in detrimental effects on the molecular weight and the degree of acetylating that negatively affects the intrinsic properties of the purified chitin. They elaborated on the importance of the optimization of the extraction process parameters (pH, time, temperature and solids to acid ratio) in order to minimize chitin degradation and bring the impurity levels down to the satisfactory level for specific applications.

Mahmoud et al. [16] reported that the effectiveness of using lactic and/or acetic acids for demineralization of shrimp shells was comparable to that of using hydrochloric acid and other benefits may include (1) organic acids that are less harmful to the environment (2) can preserve the characteristics of the purified chitin and can be produced from low cost biomass such as cheese whey (3) the resultant organic salts from the demineralization process can be used as a food preservative and/or an environmentally friendly de-icing/anti-icing agents. Fermentation of crustacean shell biowaste using microorganisms, which results in the production of lactic acid and protease, has been used in the demineralization of shrimp shell [17-19]. Ameh et al. [21] reported that the demineralization of deproteinized shrimp shell using dilute hydrochloric acid was a chemical reaction controlled process. Owing to the
scanty literature on shrimp demineralization kinetics, there is the need to investigate the kinetics of organic acid demineralization of shrimp shell for better control and optimization of the process.

This work investigated the effect of lactic acid on the kinetics of shrimp shell demineralization.

**Material and Method**

Shrimp was obtained from Kaduna central market and taken to the Department of Biological Science, Ahmadu Bello University for identification. The exoskeleton of the shrimp was manually removed, washed, dried, and ground to pass through a 250µm sieve. Lactic acid (AnalaR, BDH was procured and prepared into five concentrations (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1M).

**Demineralization**

256ml of 0.2M lactic acid solution was introduced into a conical flask (500ml) with stirring on a magnetic stirrer at room temperature (≈ 27°C). 16g of the prepared shrimp shells were quickly introduced and stirred for 5 minutes after which the content of the flask was quickly filtered and washed with deionized water until neutrality as determined using a pH meter (Kent EIL 7055). The demineralized samples were dried and weighed. This was repeated in turns for other reaction times of 10, 15 and 20 minutes.

The entire procedure was then repeated for the other lactic acid concentrations of 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1M.

The concentration of calcium, in the raw as well as demineralized solid materials, was determined using AAS analysis (Atomic Absorption Spectrometer, Varian AA240FS).

**Kinetic modeling**

The shrinking core model (SCM) was considered as it models fluid-particle reactions [20]. The models for the various SCM control mechanism are:

1. For fluid-film diffusion control (FFDC)
\[ \frac{t}{\tau} = X_B \]  

2. For ash layer diffusion control (ALDC)

\[ \frac{t}{\tau} = 1 - 3(1-X_B)^{2/3} + 2(1 - X_B) \]  

3. For chemical reaction control (CRC)

\[ \frac{t}{\tau} = 1 - (1 - X_B)^{1/3} \]

where \( \tau \) = time for complete reaction, \( X_B \) = conversion of Calcium

The right hand side of Equation 1, 2 and 3 were plotted against \( t \) and the fit \( R^2 \) were computed.

**Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 presents the progression in the concentration of Calcium in the demineralised shrimp shells with time for the various acid concentrations considered.

![Figure 1. Effect of lactic acid treatment on the Ca content of demineralised shrimp shell](image)

Figure 1 indicates a progressive drop in the concentration of the Ca for all lactic acid concentrations with time. Increasing acid concentration resulted in an increase in the conversion or amount of calcium removed. For instance, after 20 minutes of demineralisation
the conversion of Ca for 0.2M and 1.0M lactic acid solutions where 65% and 97%
respectively. This is expected owing to the greater reactivity and availability of the acid.

Figures 2 - 5 present the fitting of the kinetic data to the shrinking core model.

Figure 2. SCM for shrimp demineralization using 0.2M acetic acid solution

Figure 3. SCM for shrimp demineralization using 0.4M acetic acid solution

Figures 2 - 5 showed that the ash layer diffusion control mechanism (ALDC) gave a
good approximation of the demineralization process compared to fluid film diffusion control
(FFDC) or chemical reaction control (CRC). The relative magnitudes of the \( R^2 \) values are
indicative of this: for all lactic acid concentrations considered, the \( R^2 \) values were highest for
ALDC models. Furthermore, treatment with 0.4M lactic acid resulted in the highest $R^2$ value of 0.93 for ALDC mechanism. For ALDC reaction it is visualized that a wall of ash (non-reactive materials) prevents the fluid from moving freely to the zone of reaction (that is the unreacted core). In the demineralization of shrimp shells other unreactive materials such as protein and chitin etc are present which can offer this resistance. The result of this investigation is widely different from that reported by Ameh et al. [21] in which it was reported that the CRC mechanism was rate limiting. This again is expected as the investigation of Ameh et al. [21] was based on the demineralization of deprotenised shrimp shell in which case the protein inhibition was no longer existing, having been removed by alkali treatment prior to demineralisation.

![Figure 4. SCM for shrimp demineralization using 0.6M acetic acid solution](image)

Imports of ALDC mechanism include; reaction time is directly proportional to the square of particle size, temperature changes do not have significant effect on the reaction and the ash resistance is unaffected by changes in fluid velocity [20].

The SCM model also supposes a large excess of the reacting fluid, a condition which was not used in this investigation. The generally good fit to the ALDC mechanism suggest that a very good fit may be obtained using excess dilute lactic acid which will provide better kinetic parameters for the purpose of design.
Conclusion

Shrimp shell was demineralised using 0.2M, 0.4M, 0.6M, 0.8M and 1.0M acetic acid. The concentration of acid used affected the rate and extent of demineralisation. Analysis of the kinetic data using shrinking core model indicated that the reaction was largely ash layer diffusion controlled.
Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the Multi-user Research Laboratory, Ahmadu Bello University for allowing the use of the Atomic Absorption Spectrometer.

References


